NATIONAL PARK RANGER INTEGRATED DURING THE PERIOD 10/01/02 TO 03/31/03

Number of Students Responding


FY02: 134

Mid Year FY03: 72


Updated 06/16/03


FACULTY SUPPORT

1. The Program Specialist, Training Technician, or Faculty Advisor explained what was expected of students during the program.




The negative percentages, all of which are presented in salmon, represent the students who were less than satisfied with a particular area of the program.






PROGRAM ORGANIZATION


2. The courses in this program were presented in the right order.





15. Where there was overlap in content among courses, did the courses agree on proper concepts, principles, or techniques?




PRACTICAL EXERCISES












WRITTEN EXAMINATIONS







10. After completion of exams, the procedure for informing students of correct answers was




11. The time allowed for completion of written




LEARNING SUPPORT






17. Rate the learning difficulty of this program.




18. Rate the reading level of student texts and handout



OVERALL RATINGS

19. Overall, I believe the quality of the training I received in this program was:



20. Overall, I believe the quality of the instructors who presented the training in this program was:

